

WORKING CASTS AND DIES IN FIXED PARTIAL DENTURES (FPD)

Sarvesh¹, Kirtika², Sahvish³

¹Reader, Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge,
Desh Baghat Dental College, Mandi Gobindgarh, Punjab India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge,
Desh Baghat Dental College, Mandi Gobindgarh, Punjab India

³Post Graduate student, Department of Prosthodontics, Crown And Bridge,
Desh Baghat Dental College, Mandi Gobindgarh, Punjab India

ABSTRACT

Fixed Partial Dentures (FPDs) are among the most common prosthodontic treatments used to replace missing teeth. A critical component in their fabrication is the use of working casts and dies, which ensure accuracy, precision, and durability of the final prosthesis. This article summarizes the key concepts of working casts and dies, their materials, requirements, and systems. The quality of these replicas influences the adaptation, occlusion, and marginal fit of the prosthesis. This article discusses the concept, types, materials, and procedures involved in the fabrication of working casts and dies, emphasizing their clinical significance and the advancements that have improved precision in modern prosthodontics.

Keywords: Die, Die spacer, Working cast, FPD, Prosthodontics, Laboratory procedures

INTRODUCTION

A working cast in Fixed Partial Denture (FPD) is a replica of the prepared teeth, adjoining soft tissues, and other relevant oral structures used to fabricate and fit the fixed prosthesis accurately.

A die is a positive replica of a single prepared tooth used to fabricate an indirect restoration such as a crown, inlay, or onlay. The success of a fixed prosthesis relies on how closely the final restoration fits the prepared tooth in the mouth. Any minor distortion during impression, casting, or die fabrication may compromise marginal integrity, resulting in microleakage, secondary caries, or cement dissolution [1,2]. Therefore, an accurate working cast and die system is indispensable in achieving a biologically compatible and mechanically durable restoration.

Requirements

For Working Casts:

- Must precisely reproduce the prepared tooth margins, adjacent teeth, soft tissues, and occlusal surfaces.
- Should allow accurate mounting on an articulator.
- Must be free from air bubbles or voids, especially around critical areas.

For Dies:

- Margins of preparation must be clearly visible.
- Must ensure accurate adaptation of the restoration.
- Should provide stability and durability.

Materials

Several materials are used in die fabrication, each with its advantages and limitations:

- Type IV Gypsum: Provides strength, resistance, and accurate detail reproduction.
- Resin-based Materials: Epoxy and Urethane resins provide high strength, wear resistance, and dimensional stability.
- Amalgam Dies: Though less common today, they offer strength, durability, and heat resistance.
- Electroformed Dies and Divestment Materials: Specialized applications.

For Working Casts:

- Should reproduce all the details of prepared abutments, adjacent teeth, and soft tissue contours.
- Must be free of air bubbles or voids around margins and occlusal surfaces.
- Should permit stable articulation with the opposing cast for occlusal evaluation.
- Must possess adequate strength and dimensional stability to resist abrasion during laboratory procedures [3].

For Dies:

- The margin of preparation must be clearly identifiable and accurately reproduced.
- The die must be rigid, stable, and resistant to chipping.

- It should allow easy removal and repositioning from the working cast without damage.
- The surface texture must be smooth to allow uniform wax adaptation and accurate pattern fabrication【4】.

Materials Used for Working Casts and Dies

The material chosen should ensure dimensional accuracy, strength, and fine detail reproduction.

1. Type IV and Type V Gypsum Products (High-Strength Dental Stone):

These are most widely used due to ease of manipulation, low cost, and adequate compressive strength. Type IV gypsum offers high accuracy, while Type V provides better resistance to abrasion. However, gypsum is brittle and can fracture under stress.

2. Epoxy Resins:

Epoxy resin dies demonstrate superior abrasion resistance and high tensile strength. They show excellent reproduction of fine details and dimensional stability but tend to exhibit polymerization shrinkage (0.1–0.3%)【5】.

3. Urethane Resins:

Urethane-based materials are strong, wear-resistant, and dimensionally stable. They are used in high-precision laboratory procedures and digital workflows.

4. Electroformed Dies:

Created by electroplating a thin layer of metal (usually silver or copper) on the die surface, these offer high surface hardness and excellent wear resistance. However, they are technique-sensitive and time-consuming.

5. Amalgam Dies:

Historically used for strength and heat resistance, these are rarely employed now due to difficulty in manipulation and poor reproduction of fine details【6】.

Working Cast Systems

There are two primary types of working cast systems:

1. **Working Cast with a Separate Die:** - Advantages: Simple method, minimal trimming, intact gingival tissues for proper contouring. - Disadvantages: Difficult transfer of delicate patterns, risk of distortion.
2. **Working Cast with a Removable Die:** - Includes dowel pin, Pindex, and Di-Lok systems. - Dowel

pin systems (double pin) provide accuracy, stability, and efficiency but require special equipment

Die Spacer

A die spacer creates a thin, uniform relief (20–40 microns) between the restoration and die surface to accommodate the luting cement. This prevents hydraulic pressure during cementation and ensures complete seating of the restoration【9】.

Types of Die Spacers:

- Paint-on Type: Offers precise control; applied in thin coats (each coat $\approx 10 \mu\text{m}$).
- Spray Type: Ideal for multiple dies; less precise but time-efficient.
- Adhesive-based: Provides strong bonding but is less commonly used.

Research by Oliveira and Saito (2006) demonstrated that the use of die spacer significantly improves crown fit and retention by minimizing internal stresses and marginal discrepancies【10】.

and are more expensive.

Die Spacer

Die spacer is a thin coating applied on the die to create space for cement. Without it, crowns may not seat properly or allow cement to spread evenly. The spacer provides a 20–40 micron space, prevents hydraulic pressure, and improves seating accuracy.

Types include: - Paint-on type: Applied in visible colors for precision. - Spray type: Faster for multiple dies, though less controlled. - Adhesive-based: Rare, but ensures adhesion of the spacer.

Recent Advances
The evolution of digital dentistry has revolutionized working cast fabrication. Digital impressions obtained through intraoral scanners can directly generate CAD/CAM-designed dies or 3D-printed working models. These eliminate errors associated with conventional impression materials and gypsum expansion.

Additionally, virtual articulators simulate occlusion digitally, allowing precise adjustment before physical fabrication. Studies have shown that digital models exhibit comparable or superior accuracy to traditional stone casts, especially for single-unit restorations【11,12】.

Conclusion

Working casts and dies form the core foundation of any successful fixed prosthodontic restoration. Their precision dictates the marginal fit, occlusal harmony, and functional longevity of the prosthesis. Careful selection of materials, adherence to accurate laboratory techniques, and utilization of modern systems such as Pindex and digital die fabrication greatly enhance the outcome. As prosthodontics continues to advance toward digital workflows, understanding traditional and contemporary die systems remains vital for ensuring clinical success and patient satisfaction.

References

1. Shillingburg HT, Hobo S, Whitsett LD, Jacobi R, Brackett SE. *Fundamentals of Fixed Prosthodontics*. 3rd ed. Chicago: Quintessence Publishing; 1997.
2. Rosenstiel SF, Land MF, Fujimoto J. *Contemporary Fixed Prosthodontics*. 5th ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2016.
3. Rudd KD, Morrow RM. *Dental Laboratory Procedures: Fixed Partial Dentures*. 2nd ed. St. Louis: Mosby; 1986.
4. Craig RG, Powers JM. *Restorative Dental Materials*. 13th ed. St. Louis: Mosby; 2012.
5. Anusavice KJ, Shen C, Rawls HR. *Phillips' Science of Dental Materials*. 12th ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2013.
6. McLean JW. Die materials and their accuracy. *Br Dent J*. 1970;128(10):451–458.
7. Wostmann B, Rehmann P, Balkenhol M. Accuracy of gypsum casts made with various impression materials in full-arch impressions. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2009;101(4):262–268.
8. Syrek A, Reich G, Ranftl D, Klein C, Cerny B. Clinical evaluation of all-ceramic crowns fabricated from intraoral digital impressions. *J Dent*. 2010;38(7):553–559.
9. Grajower R, Zuberi Y, Lewinstein I. Improving the fit of crowns with die spacers. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1989;61(5):555–563.
10. Oliveira AB, Saito T. Effect of die spacer on the retention and fitting of complete cast crowns. *J Prosthodont*. 2006;15(4):243–249.
11. Ender A, Mehl A. Accuracy of complete-arch dental impressions: A new method of measuring trueness and precision. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2013;109(2):121–128.
12. Kim SY, Kim MJ, Han JS, Yeo IS. Accuracy of dies captured by an intraoral digital impression system. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2018;120(1):64–70.