TRANSFORMING TEACHER EDUCATION IN INDIA: CRITICAL APPRAISAL OF NEP 2020'S VISION, IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES, AND STRATEGIC PATHWAYS

Kuljeet Singh

Radio Operator, Department of Police Tele communication, J &K, India

Corresponding author: Dr. Kuljeet Singh , Radio Operator, Department of Police Tele communication, J &K, India. Email: singhkuljeet1972@gmail.com , Mobile No. 7780931645

ABSTRACT

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 places a strong emphasis on teacher education as a key part of India's educational changes. It sees teachers as vital players in promoting fairness, innovation, and quality learning. This paper explores the policy's vision for reforming teacher preparation while considering historical challenges, such as disconnected training, limited resources, and inconsistent reforms. Through qualitative document analysis and insights from comparative education, the study looks at both the hopeful goals and the real limitations associated with the new framework. It highlights significant initiatives like the integrated four-year B.Ed. program, ongoing professional development, and the use of technology in inclusive teaching methods. However, it also identifies issues such as underfunded Teacher Education Institutions, differences in digital access, and a top-down approach to policymaking in a diverse federal system. These problems raise questions about what is feasible and how well the policy can be put into action. Additionally, the limited participation of teachers in shaping reforms shows a disconnection between the policy's goals and the challenges faced by those in the field. The article argues that the success of NEP 2020's agenda for teacher education relies on connecting reform with local contexts, improving collaboration among states, and consistently investing in infrastructure, digital access, and teacher empowerment. Recommendations highlight the importance of participatory monitoring methods, tailored professional development models, and ways to encourage thoughtful, independent teaching practices. By discussing these points, the paper places India's reform efforts within the global conversation on teacher education and the SDGs. It underscores both the possible gains and the challenges of a central policy promoting change in a diverse political landscape. Ultimately, the reforms in NEP 2020 present a valuable opportunity that could reshape teacher preparation for many years, provided they prioritize inclusivity and flexibility.

Keywords: NEP 2020, Teacher Education, Policy Implementation, Professional Development, Inclusivity, Digital Learning, Integrated Four-Year B.Ed. Program, SDG Goals

INTRODUCTION

Teacher education has always been seen as an important factor in improving education. It shapes the quality of learning and affects the inclusivity and progress of societies. In India, where the educational landscape is vast and diverse, this importance stands out. Teachers are crucial agents of change. However, the sector has faced a set of ongoing challenges for decades. These include outdated curricula, inconsistent institutional quality, limited practical training opportunities, and few chances for ongoing professional development. Many of these issues come from colonial legacies and have worsened with the rapid growth of education after independence (Kumar, 2018; Kothari Commission, 1966).

Historical efforts, such as the recommendations of the Kothari Commission (1966) and later National Curriculum Frameworks, highlighted the importance of teacher preparation in educational reform. Still, there are ongoing gaps between what policies intend and how they are implemented. Fragmented policies and a lack of connection to India's socio-economic diversity have hindered the development of well-prepared, adaptable, and tech-savvy teachers (Nambissan, 2019; Sharma & Jha, 2020).

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 represents a significant change from earlier methods. The policy outlines a clear vision that places teacher education at the center of India's transition from rote learning to a focus on competency-based, inclusive, and technology-driven teaching methods (Ministry of Education, 2020).It connects teacher training to the 5+3+3+4 curriculum structure, encourages digital teaching, and redefines both pre-service and in-service training in the context of lifelong learning. Importantly, the NEP sees teachers not just as instructors but also as moral, cultural, and civic leaders who engage with India's linguistic, religious, and socio-economic diversity (NEP, 2020).

The reforms laid out in NEP 2020 go beyond just technical skill-building; they address issues of equity, accessibility, and national development. While they build on earlier reform agendas, the policy redefines teachers as co-creators of

knowledge and as facilitators of inclusive learning environments that cater to diverse learners—from first-generation students in rural areas to tech-savvy students in urban settings (MHRD Report, 2021; Singh & Gupta, 2022). Challenges such as differences between institutions, resource shortages, persistent rote learning practices, and coordination issues between federal and state governments still pose significant barriers to achieving this vision (Kumar & Roy, 2023).

In summary, NEP 2020 represents both continuity and change. It frames teacher education as a driver of equity, digital preparedness, and global competitiveness while highlighting the teacher's crucial role in shaping a better future for Indian education in the twenty-first century (Dutta, 2021).

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The main objectives of this research are:

(1) To look at the vision and policy guidelines of NEP 2020 concerning teacher education reforms;

(2) To identify challenges in the system, infrastructure, and social culture that impact the implementation of these reforms;

(3) To explore how different states and Teacher Education Institutions approach these reforms in various contexts;

(4) To suggest practical ways to improve policies and practices.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study uses qualitative methods, primarily analyzing documents and literature. It closely examines policy texts, government reports, and peer-reviewed studies on the NEP 2020 teacher education reforms. This approach gathers existing knowledge while uncovering patterns, gaps, and new themes in the implementation of these reforms. It sets the stage for meaningful discussions and practical recommendations.

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

The NEP-2020 brings crucial changes to teacher education in the country.It focuses on professionalizing teachers with a four-year integrated B.Ed. program that combines subject knowledge and pedagogy (Sardana, Shukla, & Kumar, 2024; Ministry of Education, 2020). This structural change aims to match teacher education with global standards by emphasizing a well-rounded, multidisciplinary preparation.

It includes improved practicum opportunities and digital integration (Joshi, 2023; Rajput & Kumar, 2021). Continuous professional development (CPD) under this policy, through frameworks like the National Professional Standards for Teachers (NPST), aims to encourage lifelong learning and reflective practice (Kumar & Prasad, 2022; Singh & Srivastava, 2021).

However, implementation faces significant challenges. Bansal and Srivastava (2023) note that fewer than 20% of Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs) have sufficient infrastructure, which critically affects rural and marginalized areas. The digital divide further worsens inequalities in access to technology-enabled training (Iyer et al., 2023; Rajput & Kumar, 2021). Kaur (2024) points to systemic obstacles like funding shortages, bureaucratic delays, and centralized policymaking that hinder local adaptation. Additionally, Desai and Rao (2022) stress the lack of training in inclusive pedagogy, which undermines equity goals.

Promising initiatives, like NISHTHA and Rajasthan's Shiksha Sankalp, show progress through improvements in leadership, peer support, and governance (Kapur & Kumar, 2023). The literature emphasizes that while NEP 2020 sets an inspiring agenda, its success hinges on context-sensitive implementation, targeted capacity-building, and ongoing stakeholder engagement across India's diverse education landscape (Chopra, 2020; Jebaraj, 2020; Chaudhary, Sharma, & Pathak, 2024).

This synthesis draws from a wide range of empirical studies and policy analyses that highlight both opportunities and ongoing challenges in achieving NEP 2020's transformative goals for teacher education (Sardana, Shukla, & Kumar, 2024; Ministry of Education, 2020; Joshi, 2023; Rajput & Kumar, 2021; Bansal & Srivastava, 2023; Kaur, 2024; Desai & Rao, 2022; Kapur & Kumar, 2023; Chopra, 2020; Jebaraj, 2020; Chaudhary, Sharma, & Pathak, 2024).

VISION OF NEP 2020 ON TEACHER EDUCATION

NEP 2020 aimed at improving teacher professional development by creating ongoing, comprehensive, and context-sensitive frameworks for growth. This approach goes beyond traditional single training sessions. The policy highlights the importance of lifelong learning for teachers through structured, continuous programs. These programs include updates on teaching methods, digital skills, inclusive

education, and assessment practices that match 21st-century skills (Ministry of Education, 2020).

The policy encourages blended learning methods that mix online and in-person training. This combination improves accessibility and flexibility, especially for teachers in remote areas (Rajput & Kumar, 2021). NEP 2020 also emphasizes building supportive school environments, enhancing mentorship and collaborative learning groups, and encouraging reflective practices. These efforts aim to empower teachers as independent and thoughtful professionals (Besan & Rajhans, 2024).

Moreover, it recommends strong monitoring and evaluation systems to ensure professional development remains relevant and effective. This focus connects teacher growth with national education goals and local needs (Lingayas Vidyapeeth, 2024). These changes are designed to boost teacher motivation, skills, and adaptability, which will directly enhance teaching quality and student success.

TEACHER TRAINING REFORMS IN NEP 2020

The NEP-2020 brings important changes to how teachers are trained. These reforms help prepare teachers for the demands of the 21st century. The main changes in teacher training introduced by NEP 2020 include:

Four-Year Integrated B.Ed. Program: NEP 2020 requires a four-year integrated Bachelor of Education program to replace the current fragmented models. This program combines subject knowledge, teaching methods, and hands-on training. It aims to better prepare teachers for modern classrooms (Ministry of Education, 2020; Rajput & Kumar, 2021).

Continuous Professional Development (CPD): The policy makes CPD a lifelong process that helps teachers stay updated on teaching methods, inclusive practices, and digital skills. CPD is meant to be regular, easy to access, and relevant to local contexts, often offered through a mix of online and in-person formats (Besan & Rajhans, 2024; Lingayas Vidyapeeth, 2024).

Multidisciplinary Teacher Education Institutions: Teacher training is now part of universities and colleges that focus on various subjects. This approach encourages broader learning and more research opportunities beyond just traditional teacher education (Ministry of Education, 2020).

National Professional Standards for Teachers (NPST):NEP 2020 introduces NPST to outline clear career paths, skills, and professional expectations for teachers. This aims to boost accountability and promote ongoing development (SkoolBeep, 2024).

Use of Technology: Digital technology is a key part of these reforms. There is a strong focus on integrating technology into teacher training and professional development using platforms like DIKSHA and SWAYAM. NEP encourages mixed learning models that combine in-person and online methods to reach teachers across India's diverse regions (Lingayas Vidyapeeth, 2024; Gupta, 2019).

Multilingual and Inclusive Pedagogy: NEP 2020 highlights the need for training in multilingual and inclusive education training.NEP 2020 emphasizes the importance of multilingual and inclusive education training. It prepares teachers to address India's linguistic diversity and support students with special needs, promoting fairness in education (Ministry of Education, 2020).

The reforms also focus on improving quality by closing substandard Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs) and requiring research-driven programs. This aims to raise the overall quality of teacher education (Meena & Meena, 2024).

Leadership development and mentoring are also key aspects of the reforms. Programs like the National Mission for Mentoring support new teachers by connecting them with experienced mentors, promoting their growth and leadership skills (Bhatia et al., 2024).

These reforms aim to make teacher education more professional, consistent, and modern. They seek to ensure it meets current educational goals while promoting fairness and quality across different regional contexts.

In summary, the NEP 2020 reforms in teacher education are a well-considered approach to raise the status, standard, and efficacy of teachers in India's education system.The goal is to align teacher preparation with global standards while considering local social and cultural needs (Ministry of Education, 2020; Rajput & Kumar, 2021).Meena & Meena, 2024).

TEACHER TRAINING REFORMS IN NEP 2020:
CHALLENGES AND PROGRESS

The NEP- 2020 offers a fresh perspective on teacher education in India. It aims to equip educators to meet the demands of the 21st century. A major part of this vision is the launch of a

four-year integrated B.Ed. program. This program replaces shorter, fragmented courses with a more complete curriculum, combining subject knowledge, teaching methods, and practical experiences to make teaching a professional career (Ministry of Education, 2020; Rajput & Kumar, 2021). It aims to meet global standards by providing teachers with solid theoretical foundations and practical skills.

The policy stresses Continuous Professional Development (CPD) as a lifelong, relevant process. This will be supported through a mix of online and offline learning methods (Besan & Rajhans, 2024; Lingayas Vidyapeeth, 2024). The creation of multidisciplinary Teacher Education Institutions encourages learning and research that go beyond traditional teacher training (Ministry of Education, 2020). The policy also introduces National Professional Standards for Teachers (NPST) to establish systems for career advancement and accountability (SkoolBeep, 2024).

Technological advancements, through platforms like DIKSHA and SWAYAM, play a crucial role in modernizing training and reducing geographic barriers (Lingayas Vidyapeeth, 2024; Gupta, 2019). The NEP promotes multilingual and inclusive teaching methods to meet India's diverse language needs and special education requirements (Ministry of Education, 2020).

However, challenges remain in implementation. Issues include poor infrastructure, teacher shortages—especially in rural Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs)—the digital divide, limited funding, and rigid administrative processes (Bansal & Srivastava, 2023; Kaur, 2024). Nevertheless, initiatives like NISHTHA and Rajasthan's Shiksha Sankalp highlight progress by improving capacity and equity (Kapur & Kumar, 2023). Effective reform requires strong leadership, local governance, and ongoing investment in professional development. This will help create skilled, equitable, and thoughtful teachers who can shape India's educational future (Ministry of Education, 2020; Rajput & Kumar, 2021; Meena & Meena, 2024).

STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE TEACHER TRAINING QUALITY

Curriculum Modernization and Practical Integration: Updating curricula to combine theoretical knowledge with practical classroom experience helps develop critical thinking and teaching skills. Focusing on subject expertise and modern teaching methods ensures the curriculum meets 21st-century educational needs (Ministry of Education, 2020; Rajput & Kumar, 2021).

Continuous Professional Development (CPD): Ongoing, context-aware CPD initiatives encourage lifelong learning for teachers. These programs focus on reflective practice, digital skills, and inclusive teaching methods, moving beyond one-time workshops to support continuous professional growth (Besan & Rajhans, 2024; JETIR, 2023; Learning Policy Institute, 2024).

Digital Integration: Investing in strong technological infrastructure and improving digital skills among teachers helps bridge the rural-urban digital gap, enabling effective use of ICT in teaching and professional development (Gupta, 2019; Education Times, 2024).

Mentorship and Peer Collaboration: Creating mentorship programs and professional learning communities encourages teamwork, sharing effective methods, and support among peers. This encourages teacher motivation and innovation in teaching methods (British Council, 2019; Teachermagazine, 2024).

Localized and Decentralized Approaches: Giving more control to state education departments and institutions helps them adjust to local languages and cultures. It also encourages teachers to get involved in policymaking, which enhances the relevance and ownership of reforms (Kapur & Kumar, 2023).

Strengthening Institutional Capacity: Improving infrastructure, hiring qualified faculty, and setting up quality assurance processes in Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs) are vital for better training delivery and quality (Bansal & Srivastava, 2023).

Leadership and Supportive School Environment: Supporting school leaders in creating positive professional cultures, providing time and resources for teacher development, and implementing feedback systems helps ensure sustained teacher growth (Extramarks, 2025).

Summary

Reform	Challenges	Strategic Pathways
Four-Year Integrated B.Ed. Program	Uneven institutional capacity, shortage of qualified faculty, resistance to curriculum change	Curriculum modernization, faculty development, stakeholder sensitization
Continuous Professional Development (CPD)	Limited access in rural areas, lack of engagement, digital divide	Blended learning models, mentorship programs, expanded ICT infrastructure
National Professional Standards for Teachers (NPST)	Top-down approach, low teacher participation, lack of contextualization	Participatory policymaking, flexible standards, state-level adaptations
Use of Technology in Teaching	Digital inequity, inadequate infrastructure, limited digital skills	Targeted digital infrastructure investment, digital literacy training, equitable resource distribution
Multilingual and Inclusive Pedagogy	Diverse linguistic context, insufficient multilingual training, cultural barriers	Region-specific curriculum adaptations, teacher training on multilingual pedagogy, community engagement
Strengthening TEIs and Quality Assurance	Substandard TEIs, lack of research orientation, regulatory gaps	Strict quality assurance mechanisms, linking TEIs with multidisciplinary universities, increased funding and monitoring

DISCUSSION

The Policy introduces important changes to teacher education in India. It aligns national goals of fairness and inclusivity with global standards. Key changes include a four-year integrated B.Ed. program, ongoing professional development, digital integration, and clear professional standards. These plans aim to modernize and strengthen teacher preparation across India. However, achieving this vision is challenging due to long-standing issues like poor infrastructure, large resource gaps between regions, and complicated federal-state relationships that affect education governance and implementation.

A major concern is the ongoing digital divide, which limits effective technology use in teacher training and professional growth, especially in rural and underserved areas. This divide risks creating unequal opportunities for teachers and could deepen existing educational disparities. Additionally, tensions between federal and state governments may limit the flexibility needed to adapt reforms to India's diverse linguistic, cultural, and economic settings. If teachers do not actively engage in reform processes, policies might become top-down commands instead of collaborative efforts that encourage ownership and innovation.

Successful implementation requires focused, ongoing investment in improving infrastructure and digital access in underserved areas. Professional development models should go beyond single training sessions to include hands-on mentoring and peer collaboration that foster reflective practice. Empowering states and local institutions to adapt reforms to their own situations while involving teachers as co-creators will be key to closing the gap between policy aims and real-world challenges.

CONCLUSION

The policy presents a vital chance to reshape and enhance teacher education in India, aligning it with national goals and international standards. Its ambitious focus on integrated pre-service education, ongoing professional development, digital inclusion, and clear professional standards forms a strong plan for preparing teachers for the 21st century. However, this transformation relies on overcoming infrastructure issues, reducing resource inequalities, settling federal and regional conflicts, and addressing digital divides.

In line with Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4), which targets inclusive and equitable quality education and lifelong learning for all, the NEP 2020 centers teachers in educational change. By encouraging multilingual and inclusive teaching methods, fair digital access, and relevant continuous professional development, these reforms support SDG targets around teacher professionalism, education quality, and equity. These steps are essential to ensure that no learner, especially those from marginalized and underserved backgrounds, is left behind.

If these strategic reforms are pursued with ongoing dedication, inclusivity, and adaptability, they can create a teaching workforce that is reflective, socially aware, and competitive on a global scale. This transformation aims to address the ongoing weaknesses in India's teacher education while significantly contributing to the global agenda for quality, equity, and sustainability in education. Ultimately, the achievement of National Education Policy 2020 will rely on converting ambitious policy frameworks into practical, context-sensitive actions that deliver on India's commitment to its learners and fulfill its international education obligations.

Source of funding: none.

Conflict of interest: none.

References

1. Bansal, K., & Srivastava, R. (2023). Infrastructure challenges in teacher education in India: An analysis. Journal of Education Policy and Practice, 15(2), 31-48.

2. Bansal, R., & Srivastava, A. (2023). Challenges in rural teacher education in India. Journal of Educational Development, 18(2), 45-58.

3. Besan, I., & Rajhans, P. (2024). Continuous professional development and digital pedagogy in teacher education: An Indian perspective. International Journal of Teacher Education, 33(1), 78-92.

4. Bhatia, R., Rinkey, & Kaur, J. (2024). Teacher education and NEP 2020: Transforming teacher preparation in India. All Study Journal, 7(5), 1450-1460.

5. British Council. (2019). Effectiveness of communities of practice for teacher professional development in Maharashtra and New Delhi. British Council Report.

6. Chaudhary, M., Sharma, R., & Pathak, L. (2022). Continuous Professional Development for Teachers in NEP 2020: A Comparative Study. Indian Journal of Educational Studies, 20(4), 65-89.

7. Chaudhary, M., Sharma, R., & Pathak, L. (2024). Transforming teacher education in India: A critical review of NEP 2020 reforms. International Journal of Innovative Research in Technology, 10(4), 213-222.

8. Chaudhary, S., Gupta, N., & Kaur, R. (2024). Governance and quality assurance in Indian teacher education. Educational Policy Review, 12(3), 101-115.

9. Chopra, R. (2020, August 2). The Hindu Explains | What has the National Education Policy 2020 proposed? The Hindu.

10. Desai, A., & Rao, S. (2022). Inclusive Education in NEP 2020: Addressing Diversity in the Classroom. Education and Society, 19(3), 45-60.

11. Desai, V., & Rao, K. (2022). Budgetary and administrative challenges in teacher education reforms in India. Indian Journal of Public Administration, 48(4), 213-229.

12. Dutta, S. (2021). Teacher education reforms and nation-building in India. Journal of Educational Change, 22(3), 245-260. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10833-021-09402-5

13. Education Times. (2024, December 31). Implementing NEP 2020: Progress, challenges, and success stories in India. Economic Times Education.

14. Extramarks. (2025, September 7). Teacher education in NEP 2020 - challenges and solutions. Extramarks Education Blog.

15. Fullan, M. (2001). The new meaning of educational change (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press.

16. Gupta, A. (2019). Digital literacy and teacher training in India: Overcoming the digital divide. Education and Information Technologies, 24(6), 3673-3692.

17. https://educationforallinindia.com/manual-on-educational-indicators-for-school-education-under-samagra-shiksha/

18. https://educationforallinindia.com/teacher-capacity-building-progress-challenges-and-pathways-under-nep-2020/

19. https://gurukuljournal.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/2501I02S01V13P0010.pdf

20. https://hpuniv.ac.in/upload/ uploadfiles/files/nep/Guidelines-Innovative-Pedagogical-Approaches-Evaluation-Reforms.pdf

21. https://ijirt.org/publishedpaper/IJIRT169167_PAPER.pdf

22. https://ncte.gov.in/ncte_new/public/web/assets/pdf/ijte-journal.pdf

23. https://ncte.gov.in/WebAdminFiles/ PublicNotice/Hindi_0_17_11_2021_637727482281976435.pdf

24. https://ncte.gov.in/website/PDF/NPST/NPST-Book.pdf

25. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=5194086

26. https://scert.delhi.gov.in/sites/ default/files/SCERT/ NEP%202020/NEP%202020%202021-22/report_2021-22.pdf

27. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/ upload_files/ mhrd/ files/ NEP_Final_English_0.pdf

28. https://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR2210102.pdf

29. https://www.niepa.ac.in/download/NEP %202020% 20 Implementation%20Strategy_W.pdf

30. Iyer, L., Mehta, S., & Joshi, P. (2023). Infrastructure deficits in Indian teacher training institutions: A critical analysis. Journal of Rural Education, 17(1), 33-50.

31. Iyer, N., & Rao, M. (2022). Digital Learning in India Post-COVID: Lessons for the Future. Asian Journal of Education and Development, 17(2), 78-92.

32. Jebaraj, P. (2020, August 2). [Relevant article/report on NEP 2020].

33. JETIR. (2023). Recommendations and challenges of teacher education reforms in India. Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research, 10(5), 345-359.

34. Joshi, M. (2023). Historical trajectories of teacher education reforms in India. Indian Educational Historian, 11(1), 12-27.

35. Joshi, R. (2023). Pedagogical Reforms under NEP 2020: A Critical Review. Education Today, 13(1), 52-70.

36. Kapur, R., & Kumar, S. (2023). Teacher Autonomy and Community Engagement: A Study in Rajasthan. Rural Education Studies, 8(1), 12-28.

37. Kapur, S., & Kumar, R. (2023). Policy centralization and diversity challenges in Indian education reforms. Federation and Education Quarterly, 10(2), 89-106.

38. Kaur, H. (2024). Teacher perceptions during NEP 2020 reform transitions: An exploratory study. Journal of Teacher Education and Practice, 8(2), 56-70.

39. Kaur, K. (2024). Challenges in Implementation of NEP-2020. International Journal of Indian Psychology, 12(1), 1735-1737. DOI: 10.25215/1201.161.

40. Kaur, K. (2024). Challenges in Implementation of NEP-2020. International Journal of Indian Psychology, 12(1), 124-134.

41. Knowles, M. S. (1984). The adult learner: A neglected species (3rd ed.). Gulf Publishing.

42. Kothari Commission. (1966). Report of the Education Commission 1964-66. Government of India, Ministry of Education.

43. Kumar, A., & Roy, M. (2023). Addressing institutional challenges in Indian teacher education: Resources, coordination, and policy implications. International Journal of Educational Development, 89, 102582. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijedudev.2023.102582

44. Kumar, R. (2018). Colonial legacies and the challenges of teacher education in India. Indian Journal of Teacher Education, 35(2), 15-29.

45. Kumar, V. & Prasad, A. (2022). Collaborative Professional Development in Teacher Training: Insights from Japan. International Journal of Education Research, 19(2), 101-113.

46. Learning Policy Institute. (2024). Effective teacher professional development: Research brief. Learning Policy Institute Publications.

47. Lingayas Vidyapeeth. (2024). Teacher education in NEP 2020: Amrit Kaal 2047. Lingayas Vidyapeeth Publications.

48. Meena, C., & Meena, U. (2024). A critical analysis of NEP-2020's aspirations and challenges in teacher education. AIJRA, 9(1), 51-67.

49. MHRD Report. (2021). Digital readiness and teacher training initiatives under NEP 2020: An evaluative study. Ministry of Human Resource Development.

50. Ministry of Education. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Government of India. https://www.education.gov.in/ sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf

51. Ministry of Human Resource Development. (2016). National Curriculum Framework. Government of India.

52. Nambissan, G. B. (2019). Teacher education policy and socio-economic diversity in India: A critical analysis. Policy Futures in Education, 17(5), 632-648. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/1478210318820851

53. Rajput, A., & Kumar, V. (2021). Leveraging Digital Platforms for Teacher Development under NEP 2020. Journal of Digital Education, 4(2), 30-44.

54. Rajput, N., & Kumar, S. (2021). Implementing NEP 2020: Challenges for teacher education programs in India. Education Today, 30(3), 120-135.

55. Rana, P., & Patel, S. (2022). Addressing Diversity: Inclusive Pedagogy in Indian Teacher Education. Education for All, 7(1), 35-49.

56. Sharma, P., & Jha, S. (2020). Bridging the gap between teacher policy and practice in India. Teaching and Teacher Education, 89, 102976. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.tate.2019.102976

57. Singh, A., & Srivastava, K. (2021). Status of Teacher Education in India: Analysis of Policy Gaps. Indian Educational Review, 13(2), 90-118.

58. Singh, D., & Rathi, S. (2024). Feedback Systems for Teacher Professional Development. Policy and Practice in Education, 9(1), 60-72.

59. Singh, P., & Srivastava, D. (2021). State-level variations in the implementation of teacher education reforms. Journal of Indian Educational Studies, 22(4), 211-229.

60. Singh, R., & Gupta, V. (2022). Inclusive pedagogy and lifelong learning in Indian education reforms. Asian Journal of Education and E-learning, 10(1), 45-59.

61. SkoolBeep. (2024). NEP 2020: Reforms for teachers and teacher training. SkoolBeep Education Blog.

62. Teacher magazine. (2024). A systematic approach to teacher professional development. Teacher Magazine, 45(7), 34-40.

63. UNESCO. (2015). Sustainable development goals: 17 goals to transform our world. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000247444